Popular Article

Human-Wildlife Conflict and Its Amelioration

Akhil Kumar Jha, Prashanthini SR, Patel Dipakkumar Ajitbhai
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10914164

Introduction

Wild animals can pose direct threats to the safety, livelihoods, and well-being of humans, often leading to retaliatory actions against the species perceived to be responsible, which in turn triggers conflicts among different groups about how to address the situation. While human-wildlife conflict is not a new phenomenon, it has become a growing global concern for both conservation and development interests. These conflicts involve a wide range of terrestrial and aquatic species, from large predators like tigers and bears to smaller animals such as otters and invertebrates. Additionally, human-wildlife conflict negatively impacts communities essential for supporting and benefiting from broader conservation efforts, presenting significant challenges to governments and organizations seeking to balance wildlife conservation with sustainable development. As wildlife populations increase or species recover and expand their ranges, human-wildlife conflicts often intensify. Managing these conflicts is complex and dynamic, with effective methods for mitigating wildlife impacts on people and their livelihoods often proving challenging (Madden, 2008). Furthermore, issues of retaliatory persecution or preventative measures against wildlife are complicated by past experiences, fears, perceptions, and underlying social tensions. Therefore, human-wildlife conflicts extend beyond mere species-human interactions, involving multiple stakeholders within specific environmental, social, and economic contexts.

Human-wildlife conflict in India: A Synopsis

In India, cultural and religious attitudes towards wild animals often shape local perceptions and tolerance, despite the damage caused to crops and livestock. For instance, monkeys are revered and protected by orthodox Hindus, influencing partial acceptance of conflict situations. Additionally, traditional reverence for plants and animals contributes to positive attitudes towards wildlife in certain

1295

regions (Dickman, 2010). However, conflict arises primarily from species such as nilgai and wild boar damaging crops, while large carnivores like tigers and leopards pose threats to livestock. The overlap of wildlife habitats with human settlements exacerbates conflicts, leading to economic losses and safety concerns. Examples include conflicts with snow leopards in Himachal Pradesh, Asiatic lions and leopards in Gujarat, tigers in the Sunderbans, elephants across India, bears facing poaching and habitat loss, ungulates affected by grazing and crop raiding, primates causing crop damage and disturbances, and crocodile conflicts in areas where human populations encroach on crocodile habitats. Efforts to mitigate these conflicts involve a combination of cultural sensitivity, habitat management, and community engagement.

Factors contributing to heightened human-animal conflict (HAC)

Factors contributing to heightened human-animal conflict (HAC) in India include habitat loss and increasing infrastructure development, as the country's protected areas cover only 5% of its landmass, insufficient to provide adequate habitats for wildlife. Territorial animals such as male tigers, requiring vast areas ranging from 60 to 100 sq. km, face limitations even within reserves like the Bor Tiger Reserve in Maharashtra, spanning only about 140 sq. km. This scarcity of space within reserves results in prey shortages, forcing wildlife into human settlements in search of food, thereby escalating instances of HAC. Recent relaxations in regulations have also led to the expansion of highway and railway networks near protected areas, exacerbating threats such as poisoning and poaching. Initiatives like the Ken-Betwa River interlinking project pose significant risks, with an estimated 29% of India's tiger population residing outside protected areas. Additionally, infrastructural developments within tiger reserves, including highways and irrigation projects, encroach upon wildlife habitats, worsening the HAC situation. The surge in eco-tourism and accessibility to nature reserves has increased human presence in protected areas, leading to concerns over managing public access and utilization (Mardaraj *et al*., 2015). Escalating livestock populations compete with wild herbivores for forage, potentially causing overgrazing and declines in wild herbivore populations. Availability and distribution of wild prey influence predator diets, impacting predation on livestock. Conservation successes may inadvertently escalate conflicts as recovered species seek new habitats. Climatic fluctuations also play a role, with seasonal changes affecting predation intensity, while stochastic events contribute to conflict dynamics.

Holistic approaches towards mitigating human-wildlife conflicts

Mitigation strategies for human-wildlife conflicts vary depending on the location and type of conflict. While passive, non-intrusive prevention methods are preferred, active intervention is often

necessary. Successful solutions typically involve local communities in planning, implementation, and maintenance (Somu & Palanisamy, 2022). Resolving conflicts often requires a tailored regional strategy. Various management techniques are commonly employed:

Translocation Strategies for Problematic Animals: Historically used but now deemed largely ineffective and detrimental to species due to survival rate reduction and erratic dispersal movements.

Barrier Installation for Wildlife Management: Building fences around cattle sheds, establishing wildlife corridors, and using box-shaped fences to deter elephants have proven effective and cost-efficient.

Community Outreach and Education Initiatives: Shifting cultural perceptions toward wildlife can mitigate conflict. For instance, in a Maasai community, conservationists changed attitudes so protecting lions gained social status.

Land Use Planning for Conflict Reduction: Altering land use can reduce conflict; for example, planting chili peppers to deter elephants from trampling crops.

Compensation Programs for Human-Wildlife Conflict: Some governments offer financial compensation for damages caused by wildlife, aiming to reduce retaliatory killings. Success varies due to factors like under-compensation or administrative issues.

Spatial Mapping for Conflict Analysis: Mapping conflict hotspots aids in understanding interactions and developing mitigation strategies. For example, in Kenya, predictive models help manage human-elephant conflict.

Implementation of Guard Dogs for Livestock Protection: Livestock-guarding dogs effectively reduce human-carnivore conflict, significantly lowering animal losses.

Waste Management Solutions for Wildlife Deterrence: Proper disposal reduces attraction of wildlife to human settlements. In areas like India, poor garbage management contributes to conflict, necessitating urgent research and better waste disposal.

Technological Innovations for Conflict Mitigation: Innovations like drones and smartphone apps aid in monitoring animal movements, preventing collisions, and alerting communities to wildlife presence. Wireless systems have successfully reduced human-elephant conflict in some areas. These strategies underscore the importance of holistic, community-driven approaches to mitigate human-wildlife conflicts effectively.

Conclusion

In summary, effectively managing human-wildlife conflict requires a comprehensive approach involving public education on animal behaviour and fostering respect for wildlife. While short-term

1297

Official Website
www.thescienceworld.net
thescienceworldmagazine@gmail.com

Published 03.04.2024

solutions like installing structures may offer temporary relief, long-term success relies on addressing root causes and promoting coexistence. Combining various mitigation strategies and ensuring consistent implementation over time is vital for sustainable outcomes. Both residents and policymakers must exhibit patience, tolerance, and flexibility to resolve conflicts between humans and animals. By fostering positive relationships with wildlife and adopting sustainable practices, we can establish balanced ecosystems where humans and animals can coexist harmoniously.

References

Somu, Y., & Palanisamy, S. (2022). Human–Wild Animal Conflict. In Animal Welfare-New Insights. IntechOpen.

Mardaraj, P. C., Sethy, J., Sahu, H. K., & Sethy, J. (2015). Human-wildlife conflict: issues and managements. Biodiversity Conservation Research, Management, Edition: 1st. Himalaya Publishing House, 158-173.

Dickman, A. J. (2010). Complexities of conflict: the importance of considering social factors for effectively resolving human–wildlife conflict. Animal conservation, 13(5), 458-466.

Madden, F. M. (2008). The growing conflict between humans and wildlife: law and policy as contributing and mitigating factors. Journal of International Wildlife Law & Policy, 11(2-3), 189-206.