THE SCIENCE WORLD
A Monthly e Magazine
ISSN:2583-2212
Popular Article
Oct, 2026 Vol.6(10), 3185-3189

From Farm to Fork: How Bioinformatics, Biotechnology and AI are Revolutionizing Global Food Safety

Bhuva Akash[1], Sanjumon ES[1], Manjali Rana[2]

[1]Division of Veterinary Public Health and Epidemiology, ICAR-IVRI, Izatnagar
[2]Division of Veterinary Medicine, ICAR-IVRI, Izatnagar
doi.org/10.5281/ScienceWorld.23347865

Ensuring food safety, maintaining rigorous quality assurance and protecting environmental health across an increasingly interconnected global supply chain requires moving far beyond conventional microbiology. Historically, detecting foodborne pathogens relied on traditional laboratory culturinga time-consuming process that often takes days or weeks. In today's fast-paced, globalized food economy, such delays are no longer tenable.The convergence of three powerful technological pillars **bioinformatics**, **biotechnology** and **computational intelligence** is transforming food safety from a reactive crisis-management discipline into an anticipatory, preventive, and data-driven global ecosystem.

Decoding Genomes and Verifying Authenticity with Bioinformatics

Bioinformatics applies sophisticated computational algorithms to store, analyze, and visualize massive biological datasets, serving as a cornerstone for modern microbial ecology and quality control. At the forefront of this shift is Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS). By decoding the complete genetic makeup of pathogens like *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Campylobacter*, public health networks such as the U.S. FDA's GenomeTrakr network can pinpoint exact strain variations, track virulence factors, and link food isolates directly to clinical outbreak cases in near-real-time. Complementing targeted sequencing, metagenomics enables the unbiased analysis of all genetic material within a complex sample, helping scientists detect non-culturable pathogens, monitor spoilage dynamics and evaluate microbial shifts in dairy and meat products long before physical spoilage appears.

Beyond pathogen tracking, bioinformatics safeguards food authenticity and combats antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Surveillance tools screen agricultural genomic datasets to detect multi-drug resistant strains (such as resistant *Salmonella* in poultry), guiding targeted interventions. For product verification, DNA barcoding and metabarcoding pipelines analyze species-specific sequences to expose food fraud and mislabeling in commercial seafood and meat products. Furthermore, bioinformatic screening evaluates novel food proteins against databases like AllergenOnline to identify potential allergens before genetically modified crops enter the market. These workflows rely on robust software suites such as QIAGEN CLC Genomics Workbench, sequence alignment platforms like NCBI BLAST and comprehensive databases including GenBank, UniProt and CARD.

Advanced Diagnostics and Bio-Preservation

While bioinformatics decodes genetic blueprints, biotechnology harnesses living systems and biological components to engineer rapid, on-site diagnostic tools and sustainable preservation methods. In food hygiene, molecular diagnostic tools like Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Quantitative PCR (qPCR) rapidly amplify target microbial DNA, confirming the presence of pathogens within hours. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA) utilize targeted antibodies to detect bacterial toxins (such as *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins) and food allergens like hidden peanut residues. Furthermore, advanced biosensors combining biological recognition elements like antibodies or DNA probes with physical transducers power ultra-sensitive point-of-care tools, ranging from lateral flow test strips for processing surfaces to electrochemical sensors for grain mycotoxins and cutting-edge CRISPR-Cas diagnostic platforms.

Biotechnology also champions natural preservation. Biopreservation utilizes protective microbial cultures and their metabolic outputs to suppress spoilage organisms and pathogens. For instance, lactic acid starter cultures produce bacteriocins like nisin, effectively inhibiting *Listeria monocytogenes* in processed meats and dairy goods. Industrial enzymes further enhance processing efficiency such as adding lactase to milk for lactose-free products while genetic breeding innovations confer natural pest resistance to crops, reducing fungal mycotoxin contamination at the farm level.

Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Modeling in Smart Supply Chains

Computational frameworks leverage statistical modeling, high-performance computing, and machine learning to simulate microbial behavior and optimize industrial food operations. Artificial intelligence and machine learning models ingest multi-variable datasets including environmental conditions, historical test records, and epidemiological data to forecast shelf life,

Official Website
www.thescienceworld.net
thescienceworldmagazine@gmail.com
Published 10/10/2026

predict contamination risks, and automate plant inspections via computer vision robotics. To combat economic adulteration, tools like the European Food Safety Authority's FFRAUD-ER framework apply natural language processing and deep learning to identify emerging food fraud threats.

Quantitative risk management relies heavily on predictive microbiology. Platforms such as ComBase and the Food Spoilage and Safety Predictor allow scientists to mathematically model pathogen growth or destruction kinetics under fluctuating temperature, pH and water activity regimes. Within processing facilities, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations model thermal transfer and sanitation airflow to ensure uniform heating and optimal hygiene. These modeling tasks are typically powered by programming languages like Python and R, supported by high-performance libraries such as Pandas, NumPy, Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow.

Transparency, Traceability and Environmental Protection

Modern supply chains rely on advanced digital infrastructure to ensure end-to-end transparency during safety recalls. Enterprise blockchain platforms such as Hyperledger Fabric and the IBM Food Trust network provide secure, immutable transaction ledgers. During a contamination incident, blockchain allows stakeholders to instantly trace an affected product back to its farm of origin, minimizing business disruption and protecting consumers.

Simultaneously, Internet of Things (IoT) sensor arrays and smart packaging capture real-time environmental telemetry (temperature, humidity, pH), transmitting data to cloud platforms to trigger automated alerts if cold-chain breaches occur.

Beyond factory walls, computational and biotechnological tools protect environmental health. Consortia of biosurfactant-producing bacteria are deployed in industrial wastewater treatment plants to neutralize organic pollutants before discharge. Geographic Information Systems (GIS), utilizing platforms like QGIS and ArcGIS, map spatial data regarding agricultural land, irrigation sources, and industrial runoff to identify regional contamination pathways. Finally, computational toxicology and *in silico* exposure modeling predict the human health impacts of pesticides, heavy metals, and novel food additives, ensuring rigorous safety evaluation while minimizing animal testing.

The Future of Food Safety

The synergistic convergence of bioinformatics, biotechnology, and computational intelligence has created an unbreakable defense framework for global food systems. By pairing high-resolution molecular analysis with biological interventions, AI-driven automation, smart sensor networks, and immutable supply chain tracking, modern food safety has evolved into a

Official Website
www.thescienceworld.net
thescienceworldmagazine@gmail.com
Published 10/10/2026

proactive, anticipatory discipline. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration across these scientific domains will remain essential for mitigating emerging biological threats, reducing agricultural waste, and safeguarding public health worldwide.

References

Alcock, B. P., Raphenya, A. R., Lau, T. T. Y., Tsang, K. K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A., Zheng, W., Blouin, A. V., Wong, M. R., Jiang, G. L., Chang, V. G., Schaenzer, G. J., Kane, C. V., Shagle, B. L., Wei, A. C., Kovacevic, S., Farley, A. L., Candido, K. A., Majewski, A., ... McArthur, A. G. (2020). CARD 2020: Antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *48*(D1), D517–D525.

Ankola, A., Shettigar, A., Kunder, S. K., & Sharma, A. (2021). DNA barcoding applications in food authentication and traceability. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, *20*(2), 1432–1458.

Baranyi, J., & Pin, C. (1999). Estimating bacterial growth parameters by means of ComBase predictive models. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *48*(3), 163–166.

Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Peña, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., Huttley, G. A., Kelley, S. T., Knights, D., Koenig, J. E., Ley, R. E., Lozupone, C. A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B. D., Pirrung, M., ... Knight, R. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods*, *7*(5), 335–336.

Dooley, D. M., Griffiths, E. J., Gosal, G. S., Buttigieg, P. L., Hoehndorf, R., Lange, M. C., Schriml, L. M., Brinkman, F. S. L., & Hsiao, W. W. L. (2018). FoodOn: A harmonized food ontology for food risk assessment and traceability. *NPJ Science of Food*, *2*(1), 1–10.

European Food Safety Authority. (2023). FFRAUD-ER: A deep learning tool for emerging risk identification from food fraud signals. *EFSA Supporting Publications*, *20*(4), 7912E.

Feldgarden, M., Brover, V., Haft, D. H., Prasad, A. B., Slotta, D. J., Tolstoy, I., Tyson, G. H., Zhao, S., & Klimke, W. (2019). AMRFinderPlus and the Reference Gene Database for detection of antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 11528.

Goodman, R. E., Ebisawa, M., Ferreira, F., Sampson, H. A., van Ree, R., Vieths, S., Baumert, J. L., Bohle, B., Lalithambika, S., & Taylor, S. L. (2016). AllergenOnline: A peer-reviewed database for assessing potential allergenicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, *96*, 220–227.

He, Y., Xiang, Z., & Mobley, H. L. T. (2010). Vaxign: A web-based vaccine target prediction and analysis system. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(8), 1094–1096.

Joensen, K. G., Scheutz, F., Lund, O., Hasman, H., Kaas, R. S., Nielsen, E. M., & Aarestrup, F. M. (2015). Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak detection of enteropathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *53*(5), 1501–1510.

Loman, N. J., Constantinidou, C., Chan, J. Z. M., Halachev, M., Sergeant, M., Penn, C. W., Robinson, E. R., & Pallen, M. J. (2012). High-throughput bacterial genome sequencing: Applications and performance in clinical microbiology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *10*(9), 599–606.

Snape, J. R., Maund, S. J., Pickford, D. B., & Hutchinson, T. H. (2003). Ecotoxicogenomics: The challenge of integrating genomics into regulatory ecotoxicology. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *111*(11), 1371–1375.

Wood, D. E., & Salzberg, S. L. (2014). Kraken: Ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. *Genome Biology*, *15*(3), R46.