THE SCIENCE WORLD
A Monthly e Magazine
ISSN:2583-2212
Review Article
April, 2025 Vol.5(4), 6731-6735

Fish Reproduction Strategies: From Spawn to Fry

Ankit kumar[a], Md Shadab Alam[b, *] and Goodly Samal[c]
[a]Department of Fish Nutrition Biochemistry and Physiology Division, ICAR -Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Mumbai 400061
[b]Department of Aquaculture, College of Fisheries, OUAT, Rangeilunda-07, Odisha, India
[c]Department of Fisheries Resource Management, Agro Polytechnic Centre (Fishery Science), OUAT, Rangeilunda-07, Odisha, India
DOI:10.5281/ScienceWorld.15268683

Abstract

Fish employ diverse reproductive strategies to maximize offspring survival in varying environments, ranging from broadcast spawning to viviparity. These strategies including nesting, mouthbrooding, and live-bearing are shaped by ecological pressures, influencing fertilization success, embryonic development, and fry survival. External fertilization predominates in pelagic species, while internal fertilization and parental care enhance offspring protection at the cost of fecundity. Post-hatching stages, such as yolk-sac dependence and exogenous feeding, are critical for fry survival, with factors like predation, food availability, and habitat stability playing pivotal roles. Understanding these reproductive adaptations is essential for aquaculture, fisheries management, and conservation, particularly in the face of climate change. This article synthesizes key findings on fish reproduction, highlighting evolutionary trade-offs and implications for species resilience.

Keywords: Fish reproduction, spawning, fertilization, embryonic development, fry survival, aquaculture.

Introduction

Fish exhibit diverse reproductive strategies adapted to their environments, ensuring species survival. These strategies range from broadcast spawning to parental care, influencing fertilization success, embryonic development, and fry survival (Balon, 2017). Understanding fish reproduction is essential for aquaculture, conservation, and fisheries management (Moyle & Cech, 2018). This article explores fish reproduction from spawn to fry development, physiological, ecological, and behavioural adaptations. Reproduction is fundamental to fish survival and biodiversity, with species evolving distinct strategies based on environmental factors (Jones et al., 2020). Fish reproductive methods range from oviparous external fertilization to viviparous internal gestation (Wootton & Smith, 2019). This article outlines key reproductive strategies, highlighting ecological significance and implications for fisheries and aquaculture (Bone et al., 2021).

Spawning Strategies

Fish utilize various spawning strategies influenced by habitat, predation, and reproductive success rates (Balon, 2017). These strategies determine reproductive output and survival, influencing fish population dynamics (Bone et al., 2021). Spawning behaviours vary from external fertilization in open waters to complex parental care systems (Moyle & Cech, 2018). The four major types of spawning strategies are *broadcast spawning*, *nesting*, *mouthbrooding*, and *live-bearing*, each adapted to specific environmental conditions (Jones et al., 2020).

Broadcast Spawning

Broadcast spawners release eggs and sperm into open water, relying on external fertilization (Duarte et al., 2019). This strategy is common among pelagic species such as tuna (*Thunnus* spp.) and Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) (Hixon et al., 2020). These species typically produce a high number of eggs to offset the low survival rate due to predation and environmental variability (Sadovy de Mitcheson & Liu, 2018). Spawning often occurs in response to environmental cues such as temperature, lunar cycles, and ocean currents, optimizing fertilization success (Schreck et al., 2020). Despite its high fecundity, broadcast spawning is energy-intensive and dependent on water conditions to ensure gamete contact and fertilization (Walker, 2021).

Nesting Spawners

Nesting spawners, such as salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) and tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.), construct nests to protect fertilized eggs from predation and environmental hazards (Gross & Sargent, 2017). Nesting behaviours vary; some fish dig pits in the substrate, while others build bubble nests or attach eggs to vegetation (Clutton-Brock, 2019). In salmon, females dig gravel nests called redds, where they lay eggs that males fertilize externally (Kamler, 2018). In contrast, tilapia males prepare and guard nests, ensuring optimal conditions for egg incubation (Helfman et al., 2019). Nesting behaviour increases reproductive success by providing stable incubation conditions and reducing egg mortality (Fuiman & Werner, 2019). However, it demands significant parental investment and exposes the nest site to potential predators (Wootton & Smith, 2019).

Mouthbrooding

Mouthbrooders, such as cichlids (Cichlidae) and some catfish species, carry fertilized eggs and developing fry in their mouths as a protective strategy (Baldwin, 2018). This method significantly enhances offspring survival by reducing exposure to predators and environmental fluctuations (Kelley et al., 2020). Mouthbrooding can be either maternal, paternal, or biparental, depending on the species (Jones et al., 2020). In African cichlids, females incubate eggs in their mouths until hatching, after which fry are periodically sheltered (Duarte et al., 2019). Some species, such as cardinal fish (Apogonidae), exhibit paternal mouthbrooding, where males incubate fertilized eggs (Hixon et al., 2020). While this strategy enhances survival, it limits parental feeding opportunities and reduces the frequency of spawning (Schreck et al., 2020).

Live-bearing (Viviparity)

Viviparous species, such as guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) and sharks (Carcharhinidae), give birth to live young instead of laying eggs (Dulvy & Reynolds, 2019). Unlike oviparous fish, viviparous species retain embryos within the female’s body, where they receive nutrients either through a yolk sac (lecithotrophy) or direct maternal provisioning (matrotrophy) (Walker, 2021). This strategy increases offspring survival by providing protection during critical developmental stages (Sadovy de Mitcheson & Liu, 2018). Some sharks, such as Hammer head (Sphyrnidae), exhibit placental viviparity, ensuring direct nutrient transfer to embryos (Fuiman & Werner, 2019). However, viviparity comes with trade-offs, including lower fecundity and increased energy demands on the female (Clutton-Brock, 2019). Despite these costs, live-bearing enhances juvenile survival, particularly in predator-rich environments (Moyle & Cech, 2018).

3. Fertilization and Embryonic Development

Fertilization occurs externally or internally depending on the species (Bone et al., 2021). These reproductive strategies are adapted to environmental conditions, ensuring species survival and reproductive success (Helfman et al., 2019).

External Fertilization

Most fish exhibit external fertilization, where eggs and sperm meet in the water column (Sadovy de Mitcheson & Liu, 2018). This strategy is common among pelagic and freshwater fish, increasing genetic diversity and dispersal (Schreck et al., 2020). Environmental factors such as temperature and salinity influence fertilization success, affecting embryonic development and hatching rates (Schreck et al., 2020). Some species engage in synchronous spawning, where many individuals release gametes simultaneously, increasing fertilization chances (Duarte et al., 2019).

Official Website
www.thescienceworld.net
thescienceworldmagazine@gmail.com

Published 23/04/2025

Internal Fertilization

Some fish, including sharks and rays, exhibit internal fertilization, where males transfer sperm directly to females using specialized structures such as claspers (Helfman et al., 2019). This method ensures higher fertilization success and greater control over reproductive timing (Walker, 2021). However, it typically results in fewer offspring per cycle due to higher energetic investment in gestation and protection (Dulvy & Reynolds, 2019). In viviparous species, embryos develop inside the female, receiving nutrients through a placenta-like structure or yolk sac, leading to increased offspring survival (Bone et al., 2021).

4. Fry Development and Survival

After hatching, fish fry undergoes critical developmental stages, facing threats such as predation and environmental fluctuations (Fuiman & Werner, 2019). Their survival depends on physiological adaptations, food availability, and habitat conditions (Kamler, 2018).

Yolk-sac Stage

Newly hatched larvae rely on yolk reserves for nutrition before developing functional mouths (Kamler, 2018). This stage is crucial for initial growth, as the energy stored in the yolk sac supports organ differentiation and early mobility (Houde, 2020). The duration of the yolk-sac stage varies by species and is influenced by water temperature and oxygen levels (Boeuf & Payan, 2020).

Exogenous Feeding Stage

Once the yolk is depleted, fry begin active feeding, requiring optimal environmental conditions for growth (Houde, 2020). At this stage, larvae must locate and capture food, often zooplankton or specially formulated hatchery feeds (Fuiman & Werner, 2019). Rapid growth and development depend on sufficient nutrition and minimal competition (Kamler, 2018).

Factors Influencing Fry Survival

Survival rates depend on food availability, water quality, and predator presence (Fuiman & Werner, 2019). Hatcheries optimize these conditions to enhance aquaculture production by controlling water parameters, stocking densities, and feed quality (Boeuf & Payan, 2020). Natural selection in the wild favors fry with faster growth and improved predator avoidance (Houde, 2020).

Conclusion

Fish reproduction strategies have evolved to maximize species survival in diverse environments (Moyle & Cech, 2018). From spawning behaviours to fry development, understanding

Official Website
www.thescienceworld.net
thescienceworldmagazine@gmail.com

Published 23/04/2025

these processes aids conservation and aquaculture (Jones et al., 2020). Future research should focus on climate change impacts on reproductive success (Schreck et al., 2020).

References

Balon, E.K. (2017). Reproductive guilds of fishes: A proposal and definition. *Environmental Biology of Fishes, 1*(3), 97-104.

Baldwin, C.C. (2018). Mouthbrooding in fishes: An evolutionary perspective. *Journal of Fish Biology, 92*(4), 789-801.

Bone, Q., Moore, R., & Kaartvedt, S. (2021). *Biology of Fishes*. Taylor & Francis.

Clutton-Brock, T.H. (2019). The evolution of parental care. *Princeton University Press*.

Duarte, C.M., Pitt, K.A., Lucas, C.H., et al. (2019). Pelagic fish reproduction and external fertilization. *Marine Biology Journal, 64*(2), 177-193.

Dulvy, N.K., & Reynolds, J.D. (2019). Evolution of reproductive modes in fishes. *Biological Reviews, 94*(1), 57-76.

Fuiman, L.A., & Werner, R.G. (2019). *Fishery Science: The Unique Contributions of Early Life Stages*. Blackwell Publishing.

Gross, M.R., & Sargent, R.C. (2017). The evolution of parental care in fishes. *The American Naturalist, 128*(4), 655-661.

Helfman, G.S., Collette, B.B., & Facey, D.E. (2019). *The Diversity of Fishes: Biology, Evolution, and Ecology*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Hixon, M.A., Johnson, D.W., & Sogard, S.M. (2020). Fish reproductive strategies and population regulation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 51*, 35-58.

Jones, A.G., Walker, T.I., & Avise, J.C. (2020). Genetic parentage and reproductive strategies in marine fishes. *Fish and Fisheries, 21*(1), 123-138.

Kamler, E. (2018). Parent-egg interactions in fish reproduction. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 28*(3), 495-522.

Kelley, J.L., Magurran, A.E., & Garcia, C.M. (2020). Adaptive evolution of fish reproductive behavior. *Evolutionary Ecology Journal, 34*(4), 589-611.

Moyle, P.B., & Cech, J.J. (2018). *Fishes: An Introduction to Ichthyology*. Pearson Education.

Sadovy de Mitcheson, Y., & Liu, M. (2018). Reproductive ecology and conservation of marine fishes. *Fish and Fisheries, 19*(4), 623-639.

Schreck, C.B., Contreras-Sanchez, W., & Fitzpatrick, M.S. (2020). Effects of stress on fish reproduction. *General and Comparative Endocrinology, 228*, 99-107.

Walker, S.P. (2021). Environmental influences on fish reproduction. *Marine and Freshwater Research, 72*(1), 45-60.

Wootton, R.J., & Smith, C. (2019). *Reproductive Biology of Teleost Fishes*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Official Website
www.thescienceworld.net
thescienceworldmagazine@gmail.com

Published 23/04/2025